

Example 4/8/04

U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service		NRCS-CPA-52 IN 04-08-04		A. Client: Joe Farmer	
Environmental Evaluation Worksheet		B. Plan ID No: #1103			
		C. CMU/Fields: Crop Fields 1, 2, & 4			
		D. Client's objective Resolve high concentrated water flow problem		E. Purpose and need for action soil erosion + water quality (Quality Criteria)	
		H. Alternatives and Effects (Attach additional pages as necessary)			
F. Resource Considerations		Proposed Action Install EPIP Grassed waterway	No Action (benchmark) Leave site as is	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
SOIL					
Erosion ephimeral gully		significant benefit	significant degradation		
Condition					
Deposition offsite		significant benefit	deposition damage		
WATER					
Quantity					
Quality suspended sediments - nutrient + pesticide prob -		significant benefit moderate benefit	mod. problem mod. problem		
AIR					
Quality					
Condition					
PLANT					
Suitability					
Condition					
Management					
ANIMAL					
Habitat					
Management					

G. Economic and Social Considerations		I. Effects			
		Proposed Action	No Action (benchmark)	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
Land use					
Capital					
Labor					
Management level					
Profitability					
Risk					

Example 4/8/04

J. Special Environmental Concerns (See "Evaluation Procedure Guide Sheets")	K. Effects			
	Proposed Action	No Action (benchmark)	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
Clean Water Act/Waters of the U.S.	beneficial	problem		
*Coastal Zone Management Areas				
*Cultural Resources	See attached cultural resources form information			
*Endangered and Threatened Species				
Environmental Justice				
*Fish and Wildlife Coordination				
Floodplain Management				
Invasive Species				
Migratory Birds				
Natural Areas	Consulted w/ The Nature Conservancy - very supportive			
Prime and Unique Farmlands				
Riparian Area				
Scenic Beauty				
Wetlands				
*Wild and Scenic Rivers				

* These items may require consultation or coordination between the lead agency/Responsible Federal Official (RFO) and another governmental unit.

L. Easements, permissions, or permits. Need gas line issue resolved, handle appropriately in construction plans

M. Mitigation _____

N. The information recorded above is based on the best available information:

Sam Adams _____ District Conservationist, NRCS 1/30/04
Signature Title/Organization Data

O. Agencies, persons, and references consulted Tom Martin Ben Jordan
State Fish + Wildlife The Nature Conservancy

P. Findings. Indicate which of the alternatives from Section H is the preferred alternative. _____

I have considered the effects of this action and the alternatives on the Resource, Economic, and Social Considerations; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances criteria in the instructions for form NRCS-CPA-52. I find, for the reasons stated in (Q) below, that the selected alternative:

_____ is not a federal action. No additional analysis is required.

_____ is categorically excluded from further environmental analysis and there are no extraordinary circumstances. No additional analysis is required.

X has been sufficiently analyzed in an existing NRCS environmental document. No additional analysis is required.

_____ may require preparation of an EA or EIS. The action will be referred to the State Office.

Q. Rationale supporting the finding The proposed actions have been adequately analyzed in the National EQIP Environmental Assessment (EA)

R. Sam Adams _____ District Conservationist, NRCS 1/30/04
Signature Title/Organization Data